UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HELEN HANKS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Civil Action No. 16-cv-6399
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
VOYA RETIREMENT INSURANCE AND ANNUITY COMPANY, formerly known as Aetna Life Insurance and Annuity Company, Defendant.	

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, Class Plaintiff Helen Hanks ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the Class, entered into a settlement (the "Settlement," Dkt. 299-2) with Defendant Voya Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company ("Voya"), and its administrative agent and reinsurer the Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York ("Lincoln");

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2022, the Court entered its Order granting preliminary approval of the proposed Settlement. Dkt. 286 ("Preliminary Approval Order"). Among other things, the Preliminary Approval Order authorized Plaintiff to disseminate notice of the Settlement, the fairness hearing, and related matters;

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2022, the approved short-form notice was mailed to potential Settlement Class Members, a website was established with the approved long-form notice, and a call-in line was established;

WHEREAS, no potential Settlement Class Member objected to the Settlement by the deadline provided for in the Preliminary Approval Order;

WHEREAS, the Settlement requires, among other things, that all Released Claims against Released Parties be settled and compromised;

WHEREAS, this application is uncontested by Defendant; and

WHEREAS, this Court has considered Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, supporting declarations, oral argument presented at the fairness hearing, and the complete records and files in this matter.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. The capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

- 2. The Preliminary Approval Order outlined the form and manner by which Plaintiff would provide potential Settlement Class Members with notice of the Settlement, the fairness hearing, and related matters. Proof that Notice complied with the Preliminary Approval Order has been filed with the Court and is further detailed in the "Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement." The Notice given to potential Settlement Class Members complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 3. The Court finds that the Attorney General of the United States and the state attorneys general have received notice of the Settlement Agreement in accordance with the terms of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b).
- 4. The Settlement was attained following an extensive investigation of the facts. It resulted from vigorous arm's-length negotiations which were undertaken with the assistance of a mediator and in good faith by counsel with significant experience litigating class actions.
- 5. The Settlement Class is the class certified by this Court on March 13, 2019 (Dkt. 110), with the exclusion of the policies that timely and validly opted out during the initial opt-out period (referred to as "Class Certification Opt-Outs" in the Settlement Agreement) and the second Rule 23(e)(4) opt-out period (referred to as the "Post-Settlement Opt-Outs" in the Settlement Agreement), as well as policies owned by Class Counsel and their employees; Voya and Lincoln; officers and directors of Voya and Lincoln, or members of their immediate families; the heirs, successors or assigns of any of the foregoing; the Court, the Court's staff, and their immediate families.

- 6. The Settlement is fully and finally approved because its terms are fair, reasonable, and adequate within the meaning of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court directs its consummation pursuant to its terms and conditions. In reaching this conclusion, the Court considered the four factors listed in Rule 23(e)(2) and the nine factors listed in *City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp.*, 495 F.2d 448, 463 (2d Cir. 1974), *abrogated on other grounds by Goldberg v. Integrated Res., Inc.*, 209 F.3d 43 (2d Cir. 2000).
- 7. In reaching this conclusion, the Court considered the complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation, the Class's reaction the Settlement, and the result achieved. No objections to the Settlement or the plan of distribution were received or timely filed.
- 8. The Court reserves continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Settlement, including all future proceedings concerning the administration and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement as well as any supplemental application for reimbursement of costs or expenses incurred by Class Counsel or the Settlement Administrator on behalf of the Settlement Class.
- 9. Voya and Lincoln shall fund the Settlement Fund Account in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Fund Account is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 10. Neither the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Settlement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.
- 11. The distribution plan, as described in the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and supporting documents, and previously preliminary approved by the Court, is approved because it is fair, reasonable, and adequate.

The parties shall submit a proposed final judgment consistent with the Settlement

and this Orde	r within seven (7) days of entry of this Order.
13.	This Order shall become effective immediately.
SO ORDERE	D:
DATED:	
	P. Kevin Castel UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument has been served on the following counsel, this June 9, 2022.

Alan B. Vickery Boies Schiller Flexner LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504 Tel: (914) 749-8200 Fax: (914) 749-8300 avickery@bsfllp.com efruchter@bsfllp.com

John F. LaSalle
Andrew Villacastin
Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
55 Hudson Yards
20th Floor
New York, NY 10001
Tel: (212) 446-2300
Fax: (212) 446-2350
jlasalle@bsfllp.com
avillacastin@bsfllp.com

Motty Shulman
Robin A. Henry
Glenn L. Radecki
Bryan McIntyre
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP
One New York Plaza
New York, New York 10004-1980
(212) 859-8000 (telephone)
(212) 859-4000 (facsimile)
motty.shulman@friedfrank.com
robin.henry@friedfrank.com
glenn.radecki@friedfrank.com
bryan.mcintyre@friedfrank.com

Attorneys for Voya Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company, formerly known as Aetna Life Insurance and Annuity Company

/s/ Nicholas N. Spear
Nicholas N. Spear